

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/038,504	EMERY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. M. Minnifield	1645	

All Participants:

(1) N. M. Minnifield.

(2) David L. Provence, 43022.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004

Time: 2:30 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed that no response was made to the Office Action mailed January 28, 2004. Applicant indicated that they did not respond intentionally. This application is now abandoned.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 N. M. MINNIFIELD
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)